		Minutes of the Extraordinary Meeting of Chapmanslade Parish	Acti
		Council, held on Thursday 26 th June 2019 at 7.30 pm in the	on
		Church.	
Present	2480	John Lewis, Chairman, Phil Holihead, Francis Morland, Phil	
		Jefferson and Minnie House. Clerk.	
Welcome	2481	The Chairman welcomed the public.	
Apologies	2482	Apologies: Apologies were received from Keith Muston, Charles	
		Thackway and John Foster, who had declared an interest in	
		advance of the meeting and their intention not to attend.	
Public		5 members of the public attended the meeting.	
No. 1 of	2402	The control of the description of the control of	
Decs of	2483	There were no declarations of interest.	
Interest	0.40.4		
Opportunity for	2484	Opportunity for the public to speak.	
the public to	0.40=		
speak.	2485	1 member of the public commented on the difficulty of being able	
		to access planning documents from the website. PH explained the	
		application. The said member of public commented that the	
		application on the information provided sounded logical and felt	
		that in line with supporting farmers the application should be	
		supported.	
	2486	During the following proceedings this member of the public left	
		the meeting.	
	2487	The applicant was asked if he wished to speak on the application	
		and accepted following no further comments from the public. He	
		stated that previous application for Barn was for the storage of	
		hay and fertilisers. He commented on councillors having spent a	
		long time fighting applications and having lost.	
	2488	The applicant spoke, strongly, on his perceptions of the councils'	
		determination to object to applications for this site and stated	
		that if the council supported the application, under consideration,	
		he would be willing to contribute the cost of extending the 30	
		m.p.h. restriction limit in Huntenhull Lane. He stated that this was	
		not a bribe.	
	2490	He felt that the council should be supporting the wishes of the	
	2489	He felt that the council should be supporting the wishes of the	
		whole community and that saving children's lives in this area would	
		be more important to the community than making objections to	
		the application.	
	1	1	

The Chairman thanked the applicant for his comments and offer. With no further comments from the public the Chairman closed the public forum and moved to the main meeting. Minutes of the last meeting. These would be held over and approved at the following meeting on 4 th July 2019 The Chairman spoke on being unsure how his colleagues wished to deal with this present application and hoped that they would be able to deal with this matter in a balanced way. He commented that as Chairman his task was to manage it in an impartial way. He appreciated that there were strong views regarding this application and that the ultimate decision on the application would be made by Wiltshire Council. He noted that the County Councillor had called it in to be addressed by the full planning committee to enable councillors and	
the public forum and moved to the main meeting. Minutes of the last meeting. 2492 These would be held over and approved at the following meeting on 4th July 2019 2493 The Chairman spoke on being unsure how his colleagues wished to deal with this present application and hoped that they would be able to deal with this matter in a balanced way. 4494 He commented that as Chairman his task was to manage it in an impartial way. 4495 He appreciated that there were strong views regarding this application and that the ultimate decision on the application would be made by Wiltshire Council. 4496 He noted that the County Councillor had called it in to be addressed by the full planning committee to enable councillors and	
on 4 th July 2019 The Chairman spoke on being unsure how his colleagues wished to deal with this present application and hoped that they would be able to deal with this matter in a balanced way. He commented that as Chairman his task was to manage it in an impartial way. He appreciated that there were strong views regarding this application and that the ultimate decision on the application would be made by Wiltshire Council. He noted that the County Councillor had called it in to be addressed by the full planning committee to enable councillors and	
deal with this present application and hoped that they would be able to deal with this matter in a balanced way. He commented that as Chairman his task was to manage it in an impartial way. He appreciated that there were strong views regarding this application and that the ultimate decision on the application would be made by Wiltshire Council. He noted that the County Councillor had called it in to be addressed by the full planning committee to enable councillors and	
He commented that as Chairman his task was to manage it in an impartial way. He appreciated that there were strong views regarding this application and that the ultimate decision on the application would be made by Wiltshire Council. He noted that the County Councillor had called it in to be addressed by the full planning committee to enable councillors and	
He appreciated that there were strong views regarding this application and that the ultimate decision on the application would be made by Wiltshire Council. He noted that the County Councillor had called it in to be addressed by the full planning committee to enable councillors and	
He noted that the County Councillor had called it in to be addressed by the full planning committee to enable councillors and	
the public to discuss it. He hoped that this would be upheld and that the application would go to committee.	
He noted that anecdotal evidence suggested that many people in the village objected to the application but that there were few objectors at the meeting and few objections on the website. He questioned whether objectors believed that previous comments on	
other applications would count in Wilts decision and if so they were mistaken.	
He stated that the application stood on its own and raised the following questions:	
The site is within a Special Landscape Area - on recent decisions related to development being permitted is this still a consideration or not?	
There are agricultural reasons given for the extension but are they valid?	
There are issues of disturbance and impact on neighbouring properties but are they valid?	
2499 He acknowledged the applicants offer regarding extension of	
speed restrictions in Huntenhull Lane and noted that as this was not within the application on the table, it would not form part of	
the discussion.	
2500 Councillors were invited to discuss the application. The following reflects the thoughts of all involved in the discussion:	
Recognition was given to the applicant's need to be able to move	
the business on to demonstrate its viability.	

Page 3	2501	The SLA was discussed and agreed that this was not a strong argument for objection, based on previous approval of Temporary workers dwelling. However it was noted that the present proposal would have a greater visual impact on the SLA. It was felt that the previous application and the present were intrinsically linked. However this application was not included in the previous application and it was felt that the business plan/
	2503	agricultural plan had not been updated to reflect the agricultural need.
	2503	It was agreed that the agricultural need was not demonstrated.
	2504	The possibility of what would happen to the barn and extension in these circumstances was questioned and concern was raised should the decision be to change this into a large residential build.
	2506	The storage of hay was questioned in the amount of storage required with the conditions on the present barn restricted to hay and fertilizer not livestock. With the number of alpacas grazing the site - would there be less hay. The increased storage was not mentioned in supporting plans in the previous application.
	2507	The lowering of the height of the existing barn was noted as a less negative step however it was also noted that the footprint was significantly increased.
	2508	It was noted that the siting of the extension may conflict with the conditions of the temporary dwelling regarding - drainage
		including foul drainage, the scheme of hard and soft landscaping and discharge of access for entering and leaving the site in forward gear.
	2509	Further concern was raised regarding the impact on amenities relating to adjacent existing residential buildings which would not comply with Wiltshire Council Core Policy 57 e.g disturbance, privacy, overshadowing, vibration, pollution etc.
	2510	In addition Councillors were equally concerned that the proximity of the proposed extended building to other residential dwellings and the proposed usage for animal husbandry might be contrary to regulations regarding the space required between livestock and residential dwellings.
	2511	It was noted by councillors that it was not possible to access documents on the Wiltshire Planning website relating to the removal of conditions related to this site. The documents are not available. Ref: Application No 19/05838 This has therefore restricted their ability in discussion of the application.

Page 4	2512	It is noted that:	
		Throughout the meeting there were disruptive interruptions from	
		a member of the public.	
		Prior to the summary of conclusions the Chairman addressed this person stating that further disruptions would instigate the need	
		for the Chair to close the meeting.	
	2513	The conclusion of the discussion was that all councillors voted to	
		object to the application on the following grounds:	
		Agricultural need has not been demonstrated.	
		 Size and proximity of the proposed extension and its impact. 	
	2514	The full response can be viewed on the Wiltshire Council website.	
	2515	The meeting closed at 9 p.m. The next planned meeting date is 4^{th} July 2019 in The Village Hall.	

Parish Council planned meetings for the following months.

4th July 2019

29th August 2019 (PLE)

The Parish Council tries to meet at least 10 times in the year. However, in the last year due to planning applications it has met 14 times. The above dates are therefore planned but some may be subject to being cancelled due to lack of business. These are marked with (PLE)